## Exhibit 37

Excerpts of deposition of Nancy Cooper (November 7, 2023)

#### In the Matter Of:

## THE SATANIC TEMPLE -against- NEWSWEEK DIGITAL

1:22-cv-01343-MKV

### **NANCY COOPER**

November 07, 2023

*30b6* 



800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

,	
1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	x
4	THE SATANIC TEMPLE, INC.,
5	Plaintiff,
6	-against- Case No. 1:22-cv-01343-MKV
7	NEWSWEEK DIGITAL, LLC,
8	Defendant.
9	x
10	November 7, 2023
11	10:03 a.m.
12	
13	Videotaped 30(b)(6) Deposition of
14	Defendant by NANCY COOPER, and NANCY COOPER
15	Individually, taken by Plaintiff, pursuant
16	to Notice, held at 425 Madison Avenue, New
17	York, New York, before Joseph R. Danyo, a
18	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within
19	and for the State of New York.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



November 07, 2023

1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 4 KEZHAYA LAW PLC Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Witness 5 150 S. Fifth Street Suite 1850 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401 6 7 By: MATT KEZHAYA, ESQ. SONIA KEZHAYA, ESQ. 8 9 10 LAW OFFICES OF CAMERON STRACHER PLLC Attorneys for Defendant and the Witness 11 51 Astor Place 9th Floor 12 New York, New York 10003 13 By: CAMERON STRACHER, ESQ. SARA TESORIERO, ESQ. (Via Zoom) 14 15 16 Also Present: 17 LAURA HENRIQUE, ESQ., Newsweek (Via Zoom) 18 ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, Videographer 19 ~000~ 2.0 21 22 23 24 25



1	Cooper	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.	
3	This is the beginning of media 1 of the	
4	deposition of Nancy Cooper in the matter	
5	of The Satanic Temple, Incorporated versus	10:03
6	Newsweek Digital, LLC, case number	
7	1:22-cv-01343-MKV. Today's date is	
8	November 7, 2023, and the time on the	
9	monitor is 10:03. My name is Elizabeth	
10	Gonzalez, and I am the videographer. The	10:03
11	court reporter is Joe Danyo.	
12	Would counsel please introduce	
13	themselves for the record after which the	
14	court reporter will swear in the witness.	
15	MR. KEZHAYA: This is Matt Kezhaya	10:04
16	appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff. I	
17	am joined by Sonia Kezhaya.	
18	MR. STRACHER: Cameron Stracher on	
19	behalf of the defendant and the witness,	
20	and with us by Zoom is Sara Tesoriero from	10:04
21	my office and Laura Henrique from	
22	Newsweek. She is in-house counsel at	
23	Newsweek.	
24	NANCY COOPER, having been first duly	
25	sworn by Joseph R. Danyo, a Notary Public, was	10:04



A. Nancy Cooper.  Q. Nancy, have you ever been deposed  before?  A. About six or seven years ago.  Q. Okay. What was that attendant to?  A. It was something in which we were not  a direct something or another, We were a witness,  and it was literally about some bracelets that we  had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?  10	04
Q. Please state your name for the record.  A. Nancy Cooper.  Q. Nancy, have you ever been deposed before?  A. About six or seven years ago.  Q. Okay. What was that attendant to?  A. It was something in which we were not a direct something or another, We were a witness, and it was literally about some bracelets that we had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?  10	
5 record.  A. Nancy Cooper.  Q. Nancy, have you ever been deposed  8 before?  9 A. About six or seven years ago.  10 Q. Okay. What was that attendant to?  11 A. It was something in which we were not  12 a direct something or another, We were a witness,  13 and it was literally about some bracelets that we  14 had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?  10	
A. Nancy Cooper.  Q. Nancy, have you ever been deposed  before?  A. About six or seven years ago.  Q. Okay. What was that attendant to?  A. It was something in which we were not  a direct something or another, We were a witness,  and it was literally about some bracelets that we  had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?  10	
Q. Nancy, have you ever been deposed  Before?  A. About six or seven years ago.  Q. Okay. What was that attendant to?  A. It was something in which we were not  a direct something or another, We were a witness,  and it was literally about some bracelets that we  had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?  10	04
before?  A. About six or seven years ago.  Q. Okay. What was that attendant to?  A. It was something in which we were not  a direct something or another, We were a witness,  and it was literally about some bracelets that we  had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?  10	04
A. About six or seven years ago.  Q. Okay. What was that attendant to?  A. It was something in which we were not  a direct something or another, We were a witness,  and it was literally about some bracelets that we  had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?	04
Q. Okay. What was that attendant to?  A. It was something in which we were not a direct something or another, We were a witness, and it was literally about some bracelets that we had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?	04
A. It was something in which we were not a direct something or another, We were a witness, and it was literally about some bracelets that we had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?	04
a direct something or another, We were a witness, and it was literally about some bracelets that we had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?	
and it was literally about some bracelets that we had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?	
had written about.  Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?	
Q. Okay. Was it a defamation lawsuit?	
	05
A. I don't remember.	
Q. Okay. Have you ever been a witness	
or a defendant in a defamation lawsuit before?	
19 A. No.	
Q. Okay. What is your current role at 10	05
21 Newsweek?	
A. I'm the global editor-in-chief.	
Q. What does that entail?	
A. I set the standard for what Newsweek	
does or doesn't do. I'm in meetings to discuss, 10	٥-



1	Cooper	
2	you know, very granular stuff what story today,	
3	but also like what are we going to do next year.	
4	Q. You said what story today?	
5	A. Yeah.	10:05
6	Q. What does that mean?	
7	A. Like, you know, what's happening with	
8	Mike Johnson, what's happening in Congress,	
9	what's happening with the economy.	
10	Q. What are the newsworthy events then	10:05
11	of the day?	
12	A. Yeah.	
13	Q. Okay. What qualifications are	
14	required for that role?	
15	MR. STRACHER: I object to the form.	10:05
16	You can answer.	
17	A. You know, it's whatever, different	
18	sets of qualifications. I'm a very experienced	
19	editor, so I would say that's the qualification.	
20	Q. What did you do before Newsweek,	10:06
21	immediately before?	
22	A. Immediately before I was at IB Times.	
23	International Business Times, IBT, different	
24	publications, and before that, MSNBC, and before	
25	that, New York Public Radio, and before that,	10:06



1	Cooper	
2	Q. So the 60 number encompasses editors,	
3	writers?	
4	A. It's a guess. It's an estimate.	
5	Q. 60-ish?	10:12
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Editors, writers, pretty much	
8	everyone. Do you run Newsweek? Are you	
9	functionally the CEO of Newsweek?	
10	A. No. There is a CEO.	10:12
11	Q. Okay. So then you have people above	
12	you. Is that correct?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Okay. How many layers is it from you	
15	to the CEO?	10:12
16	A. One.	
17	Q. So who do you report to?	
18	A. Dev Pragad. Dev, new word	
19	P-r-a-g-a-d.	
20	Q. What is Dev's title?	10:13
21	A. He's the CEO and president.	
22	Q. Okay. So you are immediately below	
23	the CEO and president. Is that correct?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Does Dev have an active role in the	10:13



1	Cooper	
2	A. No, I don't say to Google it.	
3	Q. Okay. Do you require them,	
4	specifically we're talking about the desk, to	
5	engage in any level of fact-checking efforts?	10:41
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Okay. What are those fact-checking	
8	efforts that they shall engage in?	
9	A. It's a spectrum. There's	
10	straightforward stuff where you can see that this	10:42
11	is a mistake. This is a typo. This is just a	
12	transcription error, and then there are larger	
13	questions, and their job is to make sure that our	
14	stories are accurate and fair. I don't dictate	
15	you have to Google the words.	10:42
16	Q. How does one delineate whether a fact	
17	question is big enough that it should be looked	
18	into?	
19	A. Experience.	
20	Q. In your experience, would an	10:42
21	accusation of covered-up sexual abuse qualify as	
22	one of these big questions that should be	
23	fact-checked?	
24	MR. STRACHER: Objection to the form.	
25	A. Yes.	10:42



1	Cooper	
2	there's different reputations I guess is what I'm	
3	trying to say.	
4	Q. Well, I'm specifically asking about	
5	reputation for honest, fair reporting.	11:05
6	A. I can't speak to that. We made I	
7	felt strongly that we should not be saying trust	
8	us. That it was our job to say we make every	
9	effort to be trustworthy.	
10	Q. In the industry, has there been a big	11:05
11	3 nomenclature?	
12	A. More than ten years ago. Maybe 15,	
13	20 years ago.	
14	Q. What was the big 3?	
15	A. Time, Newsweek and U.S. News.	11:05
16	Q. So Newsweek was among the big 3?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. And the big 3, what did that refer	
19	to? Not just the organizations obviously.	
20	A. Just a news mag. I mean I don't know	11:05
21	how to say. The news magazines, the key, the	
22	biggest news magazines.	
23	Q. Would it be fair to say most	
24	credible?	



6 MR. KEZHAYA: Newsweek. 7 A. I believe that's the number. You	11:06
MR. STRACHER: Objection to form.  Who is "us"?  MR. KEZHAYA: Newsweek.  A. I believe that's the number. You	11:06
5 Who is "us"? 6 MR. KEZHAYA: Newsweek. 7 A. I believe that's the number. You	11:06
6 MR. KEZHAYA: Newsweek. 7 A. I believe that's the number. You	11:06
7 A. I believe that's the number. You	
8 know, I believe that to be the number.	
9 Q. Is that a yes then?	
10 A. It is as far as I know. I don't know	11:06
11 exactly. As far as I know, yes, that is correct.	
Q. Are you familiar with the media kit	
that was attached to the complaint as an exhibit?	
14 A. No.	
Q. Does Newsweek have a media kit?	11:07
A. As far as I know, yes.	
Q. What is a media kit?	
18 A. Pardon me?	
Q. What is a media kit?	
A. What they go to market with, but I	11:07
21 have nothing to do with that side of the	
22 business.	
Q. You say they go to the market?	
A. Yeah.	
Q. What does it mean first of all,	11:07



Newsweek, do you have any estimate about when that would have been?  A. I really don't. I'm sorry. It was all phone relationship, and it's all, I don't remember any dates.  Q. Did you offer Duin a job as an	
A. No.  Q. Relative to her first article on  Newsweek, do you have any estimate about when  that would have been?  A. I really don't. I'm sorry. It was  all phone relationship, and it's all, I don't  remember any dates.  Q. Did you offer Duin a job as an	
Q. Relative to her first article on  Newsweek, do you have any estimate about when that would have been?  A. I really don't. I'm sorry. It was all phone relationship, and it's all, I don't remember any dates.  Q. Did you offer Duin a job as an	
Newsweek, do you have any estimate about when that would have been?  A. I really don't. I'm sorry. It was all phone relationship, and it's all, I don't remember any dates.  Q. Did you offer Duin a job as an	
that would have been?  A. I really don't. I'm sorry. It was all phone relationship, and it's all, I don't remember any dates.  Q. Did you offer Duin a job as an	11:20
A. I really don't. I'm sorry. It was all phone relationship, and it's all, I don't remember any dates.  Q. Did you offer Duin a job as an	
9 all phone relationship, and it's all, I don't 10 remember any dates. 11 Q. Did you offer Duin a job as an	
remember any dates.  10 Q. Did you offer Duin a job as an	
Q. Did you offer Duin a job as an	
	11:20
12 employee at Newsweek?	
13 A. I don't know.	
Q. Do you recall whether the job	
15 negotiations strike that. Tell me as best as	11:20
16 you can recall the story from how she became an	
independent contractor of Newsweek?	
A. I think that we had posted the job	
19 for a religion reporter, and she had applied, and	
20 I interviewed her along with another editor, and	11:21
21 we hired her.	
Q. Was the decision to hire her	
23 solely I say solely dually between the two	
24 of you?	
25 A. Yes.	11:21



1	Cooper	
2	Q. Did you meet with Duin on any regular	
3	cadence?	
4	A. I think we talked once a week, but I	
5	don't remember for sure. She and Juliana and I	11:22
6	it would have been.	
7	Q. Did you meet with strike that.	
8	Are there levels of reporter at Newsweek?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. How did Duin rank in that?	11:22
11	A. I don't remember her title, but she	
12	was one of the senior-ish people. I mean she	
13	wasn't expected to do, you know, breaking news	
14	stories all day. She was a subject matter	
15	expert.	11:23
16	Q. Okay. This time frame that you	
17	posted the RFP, for lack of a better word,	
18	request for proposal, the job.	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Strike that. Let's start over. You	11:23
21	posted a job?	
22	A. I believe so. I don't know for sure.	
23	Q. Do you remember about when that was	
24	posted?	
25	A. No.	11:23



	Cooper	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Do you sit on all discussions of all	
4	articles, or is that managed by lower employees?	
5	A. No. I don't sit in on every	11:57
6	discussion.	
7	Q. Okay. What delineates the	
8	discussions that you do versus don't?	
9	A. Timing, importance of the story,	
10	questions about the story.	11:57
11	Q. Earlier you mentioned that you met	
12	with Duin on a weekly basis. Is that correct?	
13	A. As I remember.	
14	Q. Did you meet with all reporters on a	
15	weekly basis?	11:57
16	A. No, not all. I believe there were	
17	others that Juliana and I spoke with once a week,	
18	but I don't remember.	
19	Q. Was Juliana in charge of Duin because	
20	of the seniority of Duin?	11:58
	A. It was just the topic I think. It	
21		
21 22	was that she was a U.S. reporter, and Juliana was	
	was that she was a U.S. reporter, and Juliana was the U.S. editor.	
22		



1	Cooper	
2	that she published is dated January 1 of 2023.	
3	A. She probably had, yeah, written that,	
4	if it's dated January 1st, she had written it, so	
5	it would have been the end of 2022.	12:55
6	MR. KEZHAYA: I pass the witness.	
7	MR. STRACHER: Thank you.	
8	EXAMINATION BY MR. STRACHER:	
9	Q. Just a couple of follow-up questions.	
10	Was the publishing desk in existence in the	12:55
11	spring of 2021?	
12	A. No. I don't believe so.	
13	Q. Was there a were there	
14	independent withdrawn. Were there people	
15	whose job it was to fact-check articles in the	12:56
16	spring of 2021?	
17	A. I don't believe so. No.	
18	Q. Were there people whose job it was to	
19	copy-edit articles in the spring of 2021?	
20	A. I believe there were, yes, but we've	12:56
21	had a copy desk, got rid of a copy desk, rebuilt	
22	the copy desk, so I don't remember the dates.	
23	Q. Oh, apologies. I'm reminded that the	
24	article was in the fall of 2021. So was there,	
25	was the publishing desk in existence in the fall	12:56



1	Cooper	
2	Q. I'll rephrase the question.	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. Julia Duin wrote articles for	
5	Newsweek, correct?	01:05
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Did you fact-check anything in the	
8	articles?	
9	A. No. I raised questions to her, but I	
10	didn't personally fact-check things.	01:05
11	Q. Did Juliana fact-check anything?	
12	A. I don't believe so.	
13	Q. And we're not talking just this	
14	article. We're talking overall.	
15	A. As I recall, yes, to what you're	01:05
16	saying.	
17	Q. Did anyone else over Julia Duin's	
18	entire career at Newsweek fact-check any of her	
19	articles?	
20	A. I don't believe so.	01:05
21	Q. Okay. So you relied, you Newsweek,	
22	relied on Julia Duin to fact-check her articles,	
23	correct?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. And if something slipped through the	01:05



1	Cooper	
2	it got in, correct?	
3	A. If.	
4	MR. STRACHER: Same objection.	
5	Q. Correct. It's all under if. Yes.	01:06
6	You can still answer the question.	
7	A. It could explain it.	
8	Q. Okay, and as the global editor in	
9	chief, you are the best positioned person to	
10	ascertain whether a factually accurate or	01:07
11	inaccurate statement has been made in a	
12	Newsweek published statement before it goes out,	
13	correct?	
14	MR. STRACHER: Objection to the form	
15	of the question.	01:07
16	Q. Correct or incorrect?	
17	A. Incorrect.	
18	Q. Okay. Does Newsweek have any more	
19	particular policies, standards, customs or	
20	practices to teach someone how to go about	01:07
21	fact-checking?	
22	A. There's onboarding. You know,	
23	there's orientation lectures, which I believe	
24	explain, you know, how we do things, what our	
25	policies are, what our practices are.	01:07



2 Afternoon Session 3 1:47 p.m.	
3 1:47 p.m.	
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	
5 record. The time is 1:47 p.m.	01:47
6 NANCY COOPER, having been previously	
duly sworn, was examined and testified further as	
8 follows:	
9 EXAMINATION (Continued)	
10 BY MR. KEZHAYA:	
Q. Please state your name for the	
12 record.	
13 A. Oh. It's Nancy Cooper.	
MR. KEZHAYA: Actually can we go off	
15 the record.	01:47
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the	
17 record. The time is 1:47 p.m.	
18 (Recess taken)	
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	
record at 1:48 p.m.	01:48
21 BY MR. KEZHAYA:	
Q. What did you know about TST before	
23 Duin pitched this particular article?	
A. Nothing.	
Q. Did you know about TST?	01:48



1	Cooper	
2	A. No.	
3	Q. Were you aware of TST's existence in	
4	the first place?	
5	A. No.	01:49
6	Q. Do you remember when Duin pitched the	
7	article?	
8	A. I don't remember, but I saw the	
9	e-mail where it was among the stories she	
10	pitched.	01:49
11	Q. Were those the first stories that she	
12	pitched?	
13	A. I'm not sure. I don't know.	
14	Q. Prior to that e-mail, was there a	
15	meeting between you and Duin about this article?	01:49
16	A. No.	
17	Q. After the e-mail, was there a meeting	
18	of the participants including you and Duin about	
19	this article?	
20	A. A meeting? No. No.	01:49
21	Q. Okay.	
22	A. I mean	
23	Q. Let's draw your attention to the	
24	confidential Exhibit Cooper 52, which we are	
25	marking as Plaintiff's 9.	01:49



1	Cooper	
2	A. Right.	
3	Q. Did you receive this e-mail?	
4	A. I believe so, yes.	
5	Q. Did anyone else receive this e-mail?	01:51
6	A. I can't tell from this one.	
7	Q. Okay. Returning back to our front	
8	page, we see the cc line. You e-mailed Julia at	
9	1:04 p.m., and there are two people on the cc	
10	line. Do you see that?	01:51
11	A. No.	
12	MR. STRACHER: On the first page.	
13	Q. At the very top.	
14	A. Oh, yes. Got you.	
15	Q. And I recall you mentioned this	01:51
16	person earlier, but I don't recall their role.	
17	Who is the first person that is cc'd on this?	
18	A. Dayan is the chief strategy and	
19	content officer.	
20	Q. So you were the chief editor?	01:51
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. He is the chief strategy officer.	
23	It's from you to Julia, and also cc'd is Juliana.	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Is that correct?	01:52



1	Cooper	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And remind me who Juliana is?	
4	A. She would be Julia's manager.	
5	Q. Okay. So Julia directly reports to	01:52
6	Juliana?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. Who in turn reports to you, is that	
9	correct?	
10	A. Yes.	01:52
11	Q. And then, in terms of the	
12	organizational chart, you and	
13	A. Dayan.	
14	Q. Dayan.	
15	A. Report to Dev. Yes.	01:52
16	Q. Meaning you don't report to Dayan,	
17	and Dayan does not report to you, correct?	
18	A. Correct.	
19	Q. Okay. Turning your attention back to	
20	this October 25 e-mail on page 2, Julia writes,	01:52
21	"Are we meeting today at 2 your time, 11 my	
22	time?" Do you see that?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. Do you have any reason to believe	
25	that Dayan and Juliana were not copied on this	01:52



1		Cooper	
2	e-mail?		
3	Α.	I have no reason to believe one way	
4	or another.		
5	Q.	Okay, and the next more recent e-mail	01:52
6	is Juliana v	writing to Julia. Do you see that on	
7	page 1?		
8	Α.	On page 1?	
9	Q.	Correct.	
10	Α.	Yes.	01:53
11	Q.	That's correct, right?	
12	Α.	Yes.	
13	Q.	And then after Juliana writes, you	
14	write, "I lo	ook forward to our meeting at your	
15	convenience	." Do you see that?	01:53
16	Α.	Yes.	
17	Q.	Okay. Was Dayan at that meeting?	
18	Α.	No, I don't know. I don't think so,	
19	but I don't	know.	
20	Q.	Was Juliana at that meeting?	01:53
21	Α.	I think so, but I don't know.	
22	Q.	Were you at that meeting?	
23	Α.	Yes.	
24	Q.	Was there a meeting?	
25	A.	I believe so.	01:53



1	Cooper	
2	that question?	
3	MR. KEZHAYA: It's a predicate	
4	question to my next question.	
5	MR. STRACHER: Okay.	01:56
6	A. They were story suggestions.	
7	Q. That's what I was going to ask.	
8	Okay. So, returning back to the first page,	
9	these other story suggestions are not something	
10	that you commented on, is that correct?	01:56
11	A. I don't appear to have. I didn't	
12	look into whether we did or didn't do them.	
13	Q. In your earlier testimony, it was on	
14	October 25, 2021, prior to receiving this e-mail	
15	on Cooper 52, you had never heard of The Satanic	01:56
16	Temple, correct?	
17	A. Correct.	
18	Q. What was it about this article that	
19	drew your attention to The Satanic Temple article	
20	as distinguished from the other pitches?	01:56
21	A. Because it's, if you read her pitch	
22	there, at the bottom, just in time for Halloween.	
23	As she says, it's quite a story, and we have	
24	something called a that calls itself a Satanic	
25	Temple and that then says it's being defamed and	01:56



1	Cooper	
2	has a lawsuit. That's just a good story.	
3	Q. And your testimony here is that you	
4	had never heard of The Satanic Temple before this	
5	date, correct?	01:57
6	A. Correct.	
7	Q. As you sit here today, can you	
8	testify whether or not Newsweek has ever written	
9	about The Satanic Temple before October 25, 2021?	
10	A. Can I testify about that?	01:57
11	Q. Yeah, do you recall, or are you	
12	familiar with?	
13	A. No. I don't know of any, but I	
14	certainly didn't do I didn't search the	
15	archive. I don't know.	01:57
16	Q. To clarify my understanding of your	
17	testimony, you do not know whether Newsweek	
18	published any stories about The Satanic Temple	
19	before October 25, 2021, correct?	
20	A. Correct.	01:57
21	Q. On Cooper 52, Julia Duin described	
22	her contacts as disgruntled members. Do you see	
23	that? Correct?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. What is your understanding of that	01:58
24	A. Yes.	01:58



1	Cooper	
2	yourself or, you know, your reporter spots	
3	something. You say that's a great idea, or you	
4	listen to your reporter, and you go I don't think	
5	this is a great idea, but this other thing you	02:02
6	said is a great idea, and you talk to them about	
7	how you would shape the story and how you see the	
8	story, and, you know, these are sometimes split	
9	into different jobs, but overall then you read it	
10	and you think about it and talk to the reporter	02:02
11	and you suggest fixes, and sometimes you overrule	
12	people and make fixes, and then it gets	
13	published.	
14	Q. What are the nature of the fixes that	
15	you would make?	02:02
16	A. Would make?	
17	Q. Um-hum, as an editor.	
18	A. It could be anything from a typo to	
19	like, no, the person is not a suspect. They're a	
20	person of interest, you know, catching something	02:02
21	like that.	
22	Q. Okay. Why wasn't Juliana the editor	
23	on this particular article?	
24	A. Because I'm a much more experienced	
25	editor, and she was probably swamped with her	02:02



1	Cooper	
2	sources used in the article prior to it being	
3	published?	
4	A. No.	
5	Q. Did you review any of the	02:04
6	communications between Julia Duin and Lucien	
7	Greaves prior to the article being published?	
8	A. I don't believe so. No.	
9	Q. What was the purpose of you editing	
10	this article?	02:04
11	A. Everybody needs an editor.	
12	Q. Why is that?	
13	A. Because you can't see your own	
14	mistakes, because you can't catch your own typos,	
15	because you can't, you're so close to the story	02:04
16	sometimes, you're not a good judge of what is	
17	confusing to the reader, what is boring to the	
18	reader. You always need an outside person.	
19	Q. Did you have any telephone	
20	conversations with Duin about this article?	02:04
21	A. I don't believe so.	
22	Q. What about this meeting on October	
23	25th?	
24	A. That's where we were on the phone.	
24	<u>-</u>	



1	Cooper	
2	That's where we were on the phone, and I guess I	
3	said great story. Let's do it.	
4	Q. Did you say that on the phone?	
5	A. I don't recall, but judging from the	02:05
6	e-mails at some point I said maybe, you know.	
7	Yeah.	
8	Q. How involved were you in the drafting	
9	and editing of this article?	
10	MR. STRACHER: Objection to the form.	02:05
11	I mean you can answer.	
12	Q. To the best of your ability. If you	
13	don't understand a particular aspect of the	
14	question.	
15	A. No, you know, I looked back at the	02:05
16	e-mails, and then I had some questions. I asked	
17	her questions.	
18	Q. Which e-mails did you look back to?	
19	A. The e-mails in the document pile.	
20	Whatever you call this.	02:05
21	Q. When you say you looked at the	
22	e-mails, when did you look at these e-mails?	
23	MR. STRACHER: You can answer.	
24	A. Yesterday.	
25	Q. Okay. Drawing your attention back to	02:06



1	Cooper	
2	Q. Correct or incorrect?	
3	A. No.	
4	Q. Please help me understand where you	
5	are coming from then in resolving that The	02:09
6	Satanic Temple does not actually have	
7	A. You did	
8	MR. STRACHER: I object to form. Let	
9	him ask his question. Go.	
10	Q. The statement here, "They don't	02:09
11	actually have an abortion ritual," you see that	
12	statement from your in box, correct?	
13	A. Um-hum.	
14	Q. You wrote that statement, correct?	
15	A. Um-hum.	02:10
16	Q. You did no fact-checking as to	
17	whether The Satanic Temple has an abortion	
18	ritual, correct?	
19	A. Um-hum.	
20	Q. You did no interviews of witnesses?	02:10
21	A. Um-hum.	
22	Q. Correct?	
23	A. Um-hum.	
24	MR. STRACHER: You have to speak your	
25	answer.	02:10



1	Cooper	
2	Q. Would you agree with me that the	
3	assertion that there are accounts of sexual abuse	
4	being covered up in ways that are more than	
5	anecdotal is an accusation of wrongdoing?	02:41
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. So, throughout these e-mails, we see	
8	that you included language in this article. Do	
9	you remember including language in the article?	
10	A. Not particularly.	02:41
11	Q. Do you remember whether you included	
12	language in the article?	
13	A. No.	
14	Q. Do you recall the e-mail in which you	
15	said that the blue insertions are yours?	02:42
16	A. I see the line. I see the e-mail,	
17	but do I remember it? No.	
18	Q. I draw your attention to Plaintiff's	
19	13.	
20	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, Document	02:42
21	Bates stamped Cooper 31 and Cooper 32, was	
22	so marked for identification, as of this	
23	date.)	
24	Q. Would you agree with me that covering	
25	up sexual abuse would be a criminal act?	02:42



1	Cooper	
2	MR. STRACHER: I object to the form	
3	of the question. Speculative.	
4	Q. For purposes of the editorial	
5	guidelines	02:43
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q would you agree with me that this	
8	is an accusation of a criminal act?	
9	MR. STRACHER: I object to the form.	
10	A. Of a possibly criminal act.	02:43
11	Q. What about the sexual abuse in the	
12	first place?	
13	MR. STRACHER: Objection to form.	
14	What's the question? Your question is	
15	"What about the sexual abuse in the first	02:43
16	place?"	
17	A. What's the question?	
18	Q. My preceding statement was, within	
19	the meaning of the editorial guidelines, is	
20	covering up sexual abuse a criminal act to which	02:43
21	you respond yes.	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. Follow-up. What about the sexual	
24	abuse in the first place, within the meaning of	
25	the editorial guidelines, is accusing someone of	02:43



1	Cooper	
2	having a systemic sexual abuse problem	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q would that not also be a criminal	
5	act?	02:43
6	A. It could be.	
7	MR. STRACHER: Objection to the form	
8	of the question.	
9	Q. I believe the witness said yes,	
10	correct?	02:44
11	MR. STRACHER: No, I thought she	
12	said, I believe she said it could be.	
13	A. It could be.	
14	MR. STRACHER: This is why you should	
15	wait until the question is finished so I	02:44
16	can object and so that you can answer.	
17	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. I'm	
18	sorry.	
19	MR. STRACHER: That's okay.	
20	Q. When is sexual abuse of a systemic	02:44
21	nature not potentially criminal?	
22	MR. STRACHER: We're getting far	
23	afield here now from like what the article	
24	actually says.	
25	So, if you want to get into this	02:44



1	Cooper	
2	area, I'm going to object, but I'm not	
3	going to prevent the witness from	
4	answering, but let me just note we're	
5	getting pretty far afield.	02:44
6	MR. KEZHAYA: I'm delineating the	
7	difference as pertains to the editorial	
8	guidelines as to the difference between	
9	criminal sexual abuse and non-criminal	
10	sexual abuse. She said it could be.	02:44
11	MR. STRACHER: Okay.	
12	MR. KEZHAYA: I would like you to	
13	answer the delineating question.	
14	MR. STRACHER: But the word you used	
15	was "systemic sexual abuse." Where do you	02:45
16	find that phrase?	
17	Q. Nancy, you edited this article,	
18	correct?	
19	A. Yeah.	
20	Q. Was this article not about TST as an	02:45
21	organization?	
22	A. It was about a lawsuit about TST.	
23	Q. Was the subject of this article TST?	
24	A. The subject of the article was this	
25	lawsuit. We didn't just like say here's the	02:45



1	Cooper	
2	thing, TST. We said here is this interesting	
3	suit. That's a different story.	
4	Q. Your testimony today is that your	
5	understanding of this article was that it's about	02:45
6	the lawsuit, not about the organization, correct?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. Please read the first line of the	
9	article.	
10	A. "Can you defame a religion,	02:45
11	especially one that doesn't believe in God, Satan	
12	or the supernatural?"	
13	Q. Is this what's known as a lead in the	
14	industry?	
15	A. Yeah.	02:46
16	Q. What is a lead?	
17	A. It's how you get into a story, the	
18	top, the first sentence of this paragraph.	
19	Q. Who wrote the lead?	
20	A. Probably she did. It's possible that	02:46
21	I did.	
22	Q. Is it your best recollection today	
23	that she wrote the lead?	
24	A. I don't know.	
25	Q. You must have knowledge as to your	02:46



1	Cooper	
2	best recollection?	
3	A. No, I don't.	
4	Q. Try.	
5	MR. STRACHER: I object to the form.	02:46
6	You don't have to try anything that you	
7	don't recall.	
8	A. I don't recall.	
9	MR. STRACHER: Just say you don't	
10	recall.	02:46
11	MR. KEZHAYA: I object to the	
12	coaching. She didn't say "I don't	
13	recall."	
14	MR. STRACHER: I'm not coaching her.	
15	I'm just telling her that she doesn't have	02:46
16	to like invent an answer.	
17	A. I don't know. That's the answer.	
18	Q. Who came up with the headline for	
19	this article?	
20	A. I believe I did.	02:46
21	Q. Is it normal for Newsweek to have its	
22	global editor in chief drafting leads and	
23	headlines for articles?	
24	A. For some articles, yes.	
25	Q. What made this article special?	02:47



1	Cooper	
2	A. Because she's one of our senior	
3	reporters, as I said.	
4	Q. Did you write headlines and leads for	
5	all of the senior reporters?	02:47
6	A. For many of them. I didn't write	
7	heads and leads for every one of her stories	
8	either, I don't think. It's just.	
9	Q. Your earlier testimony is that this	
10	article is about the litigation.	02:47
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. And your earlier testimony also was	
13	the lead is how you go about introducing the	
14	concept of the article, correct?	
15	A. Um-hum.	02:47
16	Q. Please help me understand how this	
17	lead ties to the litigation when it makes no	
18	reference to the litigation?	
19	A. The litigation is about defaming a	
20	religion. So it's exactly about that.	02:47
21	Q. Please say that again.	
22	A. The lead is about can you defame a	
23	religion. So it's about the lawsuit.	
24	Q. Did you ever look at the complaint in	
25	this case?	02:48



1	Cooper	
2	A. The complaint meaning what? The	
3	original lawsuit?	
4	Q. Correct. The Johnson lawsuit.	
5	A. I don't believe so.	02:48
6	Q. Did Julia Duin ever look at the	
7	complaint?	
8	A. I'm sure she did.	
9	Q. Do you have any personal knowledge	
10	whether she did?	02:48
11	A. I think she quotes from it in her	
12	e-mails or in the story.	
13	Q. Is that why you are sure?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Turning your attention to our earlier	02:49
16	bullet point, "Reach out for comment and give	
17	parties time to respond, " how much time is	
18	reasonable for a response?	
19	A. Again, it depends on the story. If	
20	it's just saying Mike Johnson got elected, and	02:49
21	his priority will be this, you know, you don't	
22	have to wait forever for a response.	
23	If you say, Mike Johnson, you know,	
24	beat his previous wife, you have to wait for a	
25	response.	02:49
		1



1	Cooper	
2	BY MR. KEZHAYA:	
3	Q. I draw your attention back to Exhibit	
4	5. Earlier you testified that it's normal for	
5	Newsweek to make use of anonymous sources. Do	03:14
6	you remember that?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. I want to draw your attention more	
9	particularly to page 3, first full bullet point.	
10	Please read that into the record.	03:14
11	A. "Newsweek reporters should use	
12	anonymous sources only rarely, in consultation	
13	with their editors, at least one of whom must	
14	know the identity of the source."	
15	Q. Do you know the true identity of the	03:14
16	source Jinx Strange?	
17	A. No.	
18	Q. Do you have Jinx Strange's phone	
19	number?	
20	A. No.	03:14
21	Q. Do you have Jinx Strange's	
22	residential address?	
23	A. No.	
24	Q. Do you have Jinx Strange's work	
25	address?	03:14



1	Cooper	
2	A. No.	
3	Q. Did you have any communication of any	
4	sort with Jinx Strange?	
5	A. Not that I know of.	03:14
6	Q. Did Julia Duin know the true identity	
7	of Jinx Strange?	
8	A. I don't know. I believe so, but I	
9	don't know.	
10	Q. You personally wrote these? The	03:15
11	editorial guidelines, you personally either wrote	
12	or oversaw them, correct?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Did Julia Duin indicate to you at any	
15	point whether she knew the true identity of Jinx	03:15
16	Strange?	
17	A. Not that I recall.	
18	Q. I want to turn your attention to the	
19	same page, second to last bullet point above	
20	Questions of Taste. Please read the first full	03:15
21	sentence.	
22	MR. STRACHER: Page? Sorry.	
23	Q. Page 3, second to last bullet point	
24	above Questions of Taste.	
25	A. "When writing about criminal charges	03:15



November 07, 2023 207

1	Cooper	
2	or allegations, be specific and complete."	
3	Q. Is it your opinion as Newsweek's	
4	editor in chief that the article statement is	
5	both specific and complete?	03:16
6	MR. STRACHER: Objection to the form	
7	of the question.	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. Okay. Please describe the specifics.	
10	A. That there were allegations of sexual	03:16
11	abuse and that they had not been dealt with.	
12	Q. Okay. Who was sexually abused?	
13	A. We don't know. Members.	
14	Q. Okay. What was entailed in the	
15	coverup?	03:16
16	A. I don't know.	
17	Q. And it's your testimony today that	
18	these are withdrawn. Who engaged in the	
19	sexual abuse?	
20	A. I don't know.	03:16
21	Q. Who engaged in the coverup?	
22	A. I don't know. Officials of the	
23	church, but I don't know.	
24	Q. You are presuming, correct?	
25	A. Yes.	03:16



1	Cooper	
2	Q. What was the sexual abuse?	
3	A. I don't know. Sorry.	
4	Q. What was the coverup?	
5	A. Again, I don't know.	03:17
6	Q. And, once again, it's your testimony	
7	as the person in charge of enforcing these	
8	guidelines and the person	
9	MR. STRACHER: I object to the form.	
10	She did not say enforcing. Right? She	03:17
11	didn't use the word "enforcing."	
12	Q. Were you in charge of enforcing these	
13	guidelines?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Okay. As the person who was in	03:17
16	charge of enforcing these guidelines, as the	
17	global editor in chief, and as the person who	
18	edited this particular article, it's your	
19	testimony that this statement is complete,	
20	correct?	03:17
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. And specific as well, correct?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. Please read the last sentence in the	
25	same bullet point.	03:17



1	Cooper	
2	this is an investigative story and this isn't.	
3	I'm just saying in my mind this was a pretty	
4	straightforward story.	
5	Q. How would you describe the story if	03:54
6	not an investigative piece?	
7	A. It's a reported piece. A report.	
8	Q. What would an investigation look like	
9	in an investigative piece?	
10	A. I'm trying to think of an example.	03:54
11	If you look at what The New York Times did about	
12	Harvey Weinstein. They traced back all the	
13	cases. They talked to a million people. They	
14	uncovered things that had been covered up. They	
15	didn't just write about, oh, there's this suit,	03:55
16	which is what ours could be.	
17	Q. And is it your understanding that the	
18	Johnson lawsuit involved allegations in which The	
19	Satanic Temple sexually abused and then covered	
20	up its membership?	03:55
21	MR. STRACHER: I object to the form.	
22	Q. Is that your understanding?	
23	A. No, I don't have an understanding of	
24	what the Johnson lawsuit is. That's the suit	
25	here in the case?	03:55



1	Cooper	
2	Q. It's my understanding of your	
3	testimony that the article is about the Johnson	
4	lawsuit.	
5	A. Oh, I just think of it as a lawsuit.	03:55
6	Yeah.	
7	Q. So is that a yes?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. That is your testimony?	
10	A. Yeah.	03:55
11	Q. What is the basis of this belief?	
12	MR. STRACHER: I'm sorry. What is	
13	the question? What is the basis of this	
14	belief?	
15	MR. KEZHAYA: She just testified,	03:55
16	yes, it is my belief that this statement	
17	is about the Johnson, an allegation.	
18	MR. STRACHER: No. No, it is not.	
19	Your question was, "It is my understanding	
20	that your testimony is that the article is	03:56
21	about the Johnson lawsuit."	
22	A. Right.	
23	Q. Correct.	
24	MR. STRACHER: And then you said,	
25	"What is the basis of that belief?"	03:56



1	Cooper	
2	of the statement.	
3	Q. Yes or no?	
4	A. I have faith in Julia's reporting,	
5	yes.	04:04
6	Q. You have faith in that, correct?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	MR. KEZHAYA: I pass the witness.	
9	MR. STRACHER: No questions.	
10	MR. KEZHAYA: Okay.	04:05
11	THE WITNESS: Are we done?	
12	MR. KEZHAYA: We are done.	
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.	
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of	
15	the deposition of Nancy Cooper. The time	04:05
16	is 4:05 p.m. Thank you.	
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
18	MR. STRACHER: Thank you.	
19	(Time noted: 4:05 p.m.)	
20		
21		
22	Subscribed and sworn to	
23	before me thisday of, 2023.	
24	<del></del>	
25		



1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, JOSEPH R. DANYO, a Shorthand Reporter
5	and Notary Public, within and for the State of New
6	York, do hereby certify:
7	That I reported the proceedings in the
8	within entitled matter, and that the within transcript
9	is a true record of such proceedings.
10	I further certify that I am not related, by
11	blood or marriage, to any of the parties in this
12	matter and that I am in no way interested in the
13	outcome of this matter.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
15	hand this 16th day of November, 2023.
16	Joseph Kan
17	_//
18	JOSEPH R. DANYO
19	STATE OF NEW YORK
20	My Commission Expires 2/20/2027
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

